Results of Landscape Fence Survey

Question Do you think that all barriers to protect landscaping from local wildlife should be

Text: prohibited?

Question Yes/No

Required: Yes

Response	Graph	Percent	Count
No		78.2%	265
Yes		21.8%	74

Question Text: When do you think it is reasonable to permit a barrier around individual trees/plants?

Question Type: List (Choose One)

Required: Yes

Response	Graph	Percent	Count
Never		6%	22
For first year after planting		15%	50
Until a certain size is achieved (eg. 4 inch trunk diameter)		42%	144
No restriction on time		36%	123

Question Text: Do you think the use of a wrap or collar around a trunk should be regulated?

Question Type: List (Choose One)

Required: Yes

Response	Graph	Percent	Count
No		78%	265
Only permitted during rutting season		14%	49
These should not be permitted.		0%	0
These should not be permitted.		7%	25

Question The Farm to table movement has increased interest in growing vegetables.

Text:

Should barriers be permitted around vegetables? Check all that apply.

Question List (Choose Multiple) Type:

Required: Yes

Response	Graph	Percent	Count
Any permitted barrier around a raised bed.		39.4%	193
Any permitted barrier around a specified size plot.		30.8%	151
No		11.4%	56
Support for plants only such as tomato cages.		18.4%	90

Question If a vegetable barrier is permitted, what is the maximum height that should be permitted?

Question Type: List (Choose One)

Required: Yes

Response	Graph	Percent	Count
4 feet		49%	167
5 feet		24%	83
6 feet		26%	89

Question If a vegetable barrier is permitted, what color material should be allowed? Check all that

Text: apply.

Question List (Choose Multiple) Type:

Required: Yes

Response	Graph	Percent	Count
Black		18.8%	109
Brown		24.4%	142
Green		35.3%	205
Any		13.8%	80
Galvanized		7.7%	45

Question Do you believe enforcement of current Governing Documents are important in

Text: maintaining your quality of mutual enjoyment?

Question Yes/No Type:

Required: Yes

Response	Graph	Percent	Count
No		33.3%	113
Yes		66.7%	226

Question Eagle Crest Resort has a long-standing culture of a community of commons, (shared

Text: spaces without fences and gates).

Do you agree that the policy of no fences is important in maintaining that culture?

Question Yes/No

Required: Yes

Response	Graph	Percent	Count
No		27.1%	92
Yes		72.9%	247

Question Do you think that barriers around landscaping is counter to the culture of a community of

Text: commons?

Question Yes/No

Type:

Required: Yes

Response	Graph	Percent	Count
No		68.7%	233
Yes		31.3%	106

Question Please provide any comments you have regarding barriers for the protection of **Text**: landscaping from wildlife.

Responses

2. First, it is imperative that all HOA policies, such as this fencing issue, are enforced UNIFORMLY and not randomly. Also, it is imperative that when there is a breach of an HOA policy it is addressed in a timely manner -- there are too many stories and examples of people being informed of a breach of HOA policy for something that his been in place for a year or longer. This is very frustrating for owners and does not foster goodwill towards the HOA.

In regard to the barriers for protection of landscaping, it seems to me that homeowners should not be putting in landscaping that needs to be protected from wildlife (mainly deer) -- outside of perhaps some initial protection when first planted. I can understand the need for ongoing protection for food crops, but do think if this is allowed then the size of the planting area and the allowable structures to protect them needs to be clearly outlined and closely monitored so that our community doesn't become a hodgepodge of fences and barriers. This is especially important when looking at homes from the golf course!

- 7. Plants beautify our neighborhood and they need a chance to take hold and grow. The deer were here before us and need to eat. Once a plant or tree has matured so it will continue to grow for everyone's benefit animal and human then the protective fenceing should be taken down.
- Also the CC&R enforce lighting which seems to be mimicking the Las Vegas strip. Homes with light up and down the driveways, surround landscaping and hanging need to be removed.
- 8. Good heavens. As I understand this, the issue is plant protection.
- How can a wire whatever around a tomato plant, for instance, be considered a "fence" within any common sense definition thereof. Who is the fencing keeping in or out? Bugs.
- Either do whatever it takes to reverse this change and leave it be or amend the CC & Rs to clear this up. The Committee keeps our areas weak kept, but this is just too petty to comprehend.
- 9. Question #7 is not a simple yes or no answer. There are various degrees of rules that need to be enforced and there are some that are ridiculous. We are not an animal preserve.
- 10. Planting of flowers, grasses and shrubs can add interest and color to a sometimes drab landscape. Some plants, at certain times, need protection from wildlife to survive, and they are expensive. Vegetable gardening is difficult in our situation as it tends to get carried away and sometimes quite messy, community gardens are a better idea. Too bad common sense can't be applied by both homeowners and enforcement committees.
- 11. While I agree with the community of commons without fences, I do believe that protection of new landscaping with fencing for a limited time is necessary for the beauty of the community as well. Plants eaten by wildlife (deer) are not pretty and generally look dead or at least malnourished. We are new to the community and moved here because we love the beauty of this neighborhood. We support short term fencing (1 to 3 years or trunk size) around new landscaping. Our landscaper took a survey of our property today and found that many of our spirea and other small bushes are eaten almost to the ground level. We asked for replacements that may not be appealing to the deer. I would be happy to work with the ARC on a policy that would permit some limited landscape fencing or welcome the opportunity to talk with the ARC. Thank you, Larry Budd
- 12. I don't think fencing around the perimeter of a property is appropriate, yet placing barriers around trees, or a small plot of land to protect and grow veggies or flowers would be more than appropriate.
- 13. The ridge has not uniformly enforced this cc&r. I have been here 15 years and little to no enforcement has taken place. Either uniformly enforce it or change it.

14. It is interesting that per the current Governing Documents for homeowners to suggest a change, the Board points out that a 75% response from owners and 50% plus 1 to change is required. But that rule is moot should the Board want to change the governing documents. Then they have full autonomy.

15. I recognize there is a fine line between vegetation protection and maintaining our wonderful openness. Depending on the size of an vegetable enclosure a 6 foot fence would not be a protection.

Do these potential regulations cover all housing here at Eagle Crest or only single-family homes? Would we at Forest Ridge be allowed to follow any future changes in the current regulations?

Thank you!

- 16. We have lived here for 8 years and several of our barriers for trees were here before us. And we still have plenty of wildlife.
- 17. I feel it would be terrific to be able to have a garden and have it protected by a aesthetically pleasing fence that is appropriately placed and of only natural coloring which means not bright green, pink, etc. and doesn't include bent and distorted fences. Just nicely done and level.
- 18. Our opinion is that the enforcement of the recent rules is counter to the enjoyment and harmony of life in EagleCrest. It is expected that we have beautiful yards that comes with exorbitant cost for landscaping. And yet are subjected to fines for having a fence to protect that investment. We are in favor of barriers to protect those trees and plants.
- As long time residents our yard has been completely demolished over the years by deer. We have spent countless dollars in reestablishment of shrubs and trees.
- 22. I hate the idea of fences. Small barriers to protect the plants you buy with your hard earned money seems reasonable. Feeding the deer only to have them attacking your pet and you is a sign we need to stop letting them eat our plants. Maybe reduce the deer population!!!
- 23. Wildlife was here first. Living in our rural community is much different than in a city. Landscaping choices should be made to not encourage wildlife to eat the plantings. This is difficult but there are many plants that wildlife do not eat. These should be considered when new landscaping is planted. Taking this into your plan would be a better choice. However, deer will eat anything, almost, during a cold winter. It won't matter how long the plants have been in the ground. They will get eaten.
- 25. More important...Strictly enforce residents from feeding the deer. Encourage planting deer UNfriendly plants.
- 29. No matter how high the fencing is, the deer will get to the food. No one, at any property, should be providing food for the wildlife.
- 30. Because of the over population of deer here they are constantly in homeowner yards eating our plants and flowers, and destroying landscaping that are required by our CCR's to have.
- Homeowners that feed deer should be issued one warning letter and after that a large fine must be enforced
- 31. Barriers are essential for young plants to survive until the plant matures to the point that the deer will not destroy them.
- 32. Wire cages around new trees and plants should be permitted.
- 34. I think that for the most part we can select plants that the deer don't like or leave alone for the most part. When planting new trees they are very vulnerable to the deer breaking off the lower branches that they can reach, so protecting them is a good idea until they are mature enough to survive and look good.
- 35. I am against any fencing that separates lots and properties. I'm open to minimal protection of landscapes where trees and plants only need time to be established and once established would not be at risk of damage from animal life. Once established the protection/fencing should be removed.
- 39. Within reason. When you have a home that clear cuts all the mature trees in front of its home, builds a moat around it, plants new growth and protects all of the new plantings, I think that does nothing to

enhance the character of the Falls. Common sense limits could create an acceptable balance, while reducing an enforcement issue that has at best been inconsistent.

- 41. None
- 42. If fencing around a tree or shrub is allowed, it should be limited to 2 or 3 per residence and should be small maybe about 2' x 2' and for only a given period of time maybe 12-18 months.
- 43. The open, commons concept serves the greater good and enhances value. Going down a road of individualized perception of tasteful, appropriate and effective barriers is a very slippery slope.
- 44. Because of the need to coexist with wildlife in Eagle Crest and the difficulty to bring certain desirable landscape plants to maturity in the presence of wildlife it makes sense to allow the use of barriers to protect such landscape plants. The use of such barriers is now prevalent within Eagle Crest and in my opinion they are not an eyesore nor do they diminish the quality of life within the development. I would say the same for barriers around vegetable gardens.
- 45. I find protection around certain trees or plants while they are growing to a certain height is not offensive, and makes good sense. I also like the idea of raised beds (maybe 3 feet off the ground that you can roll around) is a fun idea for residents, to grow vegetables or even certain flowers. Whatever netting needed for protection should be allowed as long as it's not some crazy color.
- 46. Certain plants are highly susceptible to being eaten and should be avoided. Homeowners and landscapers should do their homework first. I've seen too many new landscapes planted with the wrong plants. I.e. dogwood, lilacs. In these cases barriers would need to be permanent, thereby unacceptable. However, what's eaten in one yard is not in another. My yellow yarrow is chewed to the ground every year, yet it is untouched and thrives at the mailboxes on Coopers Hawk. Frustrating to say the least. 48. I believe young trees do need some protection for the first few years if they are to survive. I think having leaf trees such as Maples enhance the environment for all and give reprieve from Juniper but they need protection when they are very young. I believe garden plots are a great past time and beneficial organic supplement option to our food sources. Gardens should be permitted and fenced as long as they are maintained and we do not have to view BLUE tarps and such.
- 49. Plant native. Wildlife was here before us. We are the visitors.
- 50. If you don't want any landscaping you better come up with some solution that isn't changed every time a new person is assigned to the ARC committee. It's a little hard trying to figure out what can be planted as the fawns will try anything at least once and then decided that they like it and I am a little teed off trying to figure out what they will not eat after 20 years. The area behind my home and the golf course looks a mess even tho my son tries to keep it clean from debris from the maintenance facility when it blows over.
- 51. The deer and small animals at Eagle Crest are part of what makes our resort so wonderful. When we have seen them eating plants, shrubs and trees, they nibble and then move on. We should have healthy vegetation for them to eat that supplements the natural vegetation they eat in the scrub areas. Because it is a resort without fencing, gardens should be small in size, such as in raised beds. We support the policy to keep Eagle Crest fence-free, except for coverings for small raised beds. Thank you for giving owners the opportunity to share our views!
- 52. Needs to be somewhat regulated but I think the current regulations are to strict with no room for exceptions
- 54. It is silly and short sided that I was made to remove my tomato plants. Period.
- 56. RECOA should limit the number of barriers for individual trees, bushes, and plants to 5 or less. Seeing more barriers in a number of individual yards in a neighborhood will become an eyesore and not be pleasing to the eye.

Vegetable gardens should ONLY be permitted in special circumstances where the garden and its fencing view will be placed so that the homeowners house directly blocks seeing the garden from the street along any house wall side (vs. directly in the middle front of the house).

More critical, and still unenforced by RECOA, is the "taking" of "common lands" by individual houses expanding their yards into the commons. This willful violation of the "rule of the governing documents" by homeowners (past and present) and the disregard by RECOA to enforce these violations has lead to an ever increasing encroachment into common areas by homeowners.

If RECOA requires one house to "restore common land" they cannot pick and choose who must do it, but must enforce this rule on all homeowners that have done it. They should list all the houses that are taking common lands on the RECOA homeowners web page, and require/fine all that do not restore the common lands, including putting liens on houses that do not pay the fine if not done in accordance with RECOA policies.

The homeowners web page list should be updated monthly as to the status of which homes have been notified and how many times, those that have completed compliance, and any actions being taken by RECOA for the non-compliant houses. To date RECOA does not want the community to know what is happening regarding compliance and non-compliance. This leads to people thinking and believing that RECOA only requires selective homeowners to be in compliance while others can do what they want.

The same is true for fences. There are, and have been, many fences (most are simple plant and vegetable garden fencing, but others more permanent "actual fences") in the neighborhoods. Again if RECOA cannot enforce the "rule of the governing documents" for existing fences by ALL homeowners (not select homeowners), why would one believe that RECOA is capable of enforcing further fencing regulations. The same listing on the homeowners web page as given above should be done.

- 57. Present regulations are too restrictive but some fencing to protect plants and trees should be allowed
- 58. If you want a nicely landscaped community, then you have to acknowledge that the deer are the greatest threat to the vegetation. We simply want to be able to protect our investment in landscaping while enjoying the presence of wildlife.
- 59. No fences
- 60. Barriers for vegetables is no different than barriers for any other plants. If you can't protect your other plants you shouldn't have special provisions for vegetables
- 62. Some of the questions were difficult to answer based on the previous questions. sometimes I wanted to check none of the above.

I believe that a small barrier around newly planted trees or plants is OK. However, the kind of barrier and it's size is important. Deer antlers can get tangled up in some wire barriers.

- 64. I believe the current regulations restricting fencing should remain
- 67. Several of these questions are subjective to interpretation and most are black or white. You should have had comment space per question for succinct feedback. Obviously we need to have quidelines to create a common "look" property to property. We don't need or want fenced yards. We think in terms of landscaping we should all be pursuing native species that are hardy and blend well, No gang fencing of landscape plants but individually we support. Vegetable gardens we think should mimic the look of the house. Many are built adjacent to the house and look like the wall that hide the propane tank. These would be preferred and would create a common appearance.
- 72. we live in the wildlife's environment (they were here first). if one don't like wildlife interference then maybe one should live in town, away from wildlife.

- 75. We live where there is abundant wildlife and it seems only fair that we should be able to protect what we plant. Plants are very expensive and we need plants to keep our properties looking good. We just don't need regulations regarding barriers.
- 76. Please articulate rules clearly and enforce rules consistently or not at all.

Many of these survey questions are written confusingly and or ambiguously. They are very unclear and exhibit bias.

EC has a strong culture of favoritism when it comes to enforcing the ARC guidelines. And the culture of openness and transparency is a joke. Northview does as little as possible to respond to owner inquiries and complaints. One has to become a chronic squeaky wheel for anything to happen.

I am in favor of wrapping the tree trunks as necessary. But not enclosing a larger diameter around the trunk. Deer routinely destroy younger tree stock (just look around you at the scarring and shredding). If you want a consistent product used by homeowners, provide the product at cost and encourage the use of it.

Provide a fenced area, water and raised beds for a community garden and forbid any other garden plots (raised or otherwise) on owner property. Container gardens on decks or patios would be OK.

A portion of the fenced area by the former equestrian operation on the west ridge would be perfect for a Community garden. Add no climb wire fencing to 8 feet. Done!

77. Learn to plant what wild life will not bother.

compromises to these concerns.

- 78. Good taste reasonable protection of plants or vegetables should be OK , I realize " reasonable " is different for all folks! Jack Mumford
- 79. No barriers are a good place to start. Home owners routinely employ landscaping they know is on the menu of local wildlife, and find themselves frustrated when the animals take advantage of the opportunity to eat without working for their nutrition. Limit garden items to those that can be grown on patios and decks. All materials must be removed when the garden season ends. Encourage residents to grow items less likely to be eaten. Period.
- 80. These barriers should be allowed as the owners see necessary.
- 81. Eagle Crest was developed in deer habitat so we should have a choice whether we can protect our trees and gardens with barriers.
- 82. Deer and other wildlife were part of the Eagle Crest package. Learn to live with them. Homeowners need to be very selective in what they plant. We have resorted to deer spray to get new plantings through vulnerable times.
- 83. Currently residents are not allowed to feed wildlife in Eagle Crest. Banning all barriers around vegetables and other landscaping that attracts wildlife is counter productive and encourages wildlife to congregate. I support the use of some sort of barrier to keep wildlife at bay.
- 84. ARC should be flexible and communicate with owners in a friendly and non-threatening manner. ARC needs to respond to questions and proposals quickly.
- 85. Barriers to protect plants and small trees from foraging dear and other animals should be permissible. Not having those barriers expose this greenery to damage from animals who eat and or use those plants/trees. Likewise the bark on several of my trees have been rubbed off by bucks to the point where the life of my trees were threatened. Dead trees in our neighborhoods would not look good.

 86. Temporary barriers for 1 year would allow plants to become established. After that, sprays and other similar deterrents should suffice to deter deer from appropriately selected plants. Vegetable planter boxes, thoughtfully placed, should be allowed. Boxes and frames painted to match the house (no white PVC) and covered by black bird netting would be unobtrusive. Seems like there are common sense

- 87. Landscaping is an expensive investment which we are required to have. Even though we try to plant "deer resistant" plants, they are sometimes destroyed. There has to be some type of happy medium for homeowners. Including growing our own vegetables.
- 88. As long as our deer population is as large as it is, homeowners have the right to protect their investment. Shrubs that have been chewed on by deer are a whole, heck of a lot more unattractive than minor fencing!!!!!!
- 89. I think The survey was confusing. The way the questions were asked was confusing. My stand is this. The use of the term fencing is confusing. It gives the impression of people restricted areas. Protecting the young trees and vulnerable plants that deer Destroy should be permitted. It's only common sense. For years people have done this. I walk around the neighborhoods with my dog all the time. Never have I said to myself that protecting trees and plants looks awful. I do notice Damaged trees, half eaten trees, trampled flowers, flowers where all the buds have been eaten off etc. One of the reasons Eagle Crest is so beautiful is that people have taken care of their yards. There should be a restriction when trees are well established and the deer are not nibbling up all the "deer proof" plants and they are established that the fencing should come down. Common sense should be the keyword in this whole situation. There are enough people policing some of these neighborhoods that if something is really unattractive to the neighborhood it will be reported. The only thing I have found to be unattractive so far are neighbors who bring their big RVs in for days and days at a time many times during the course of a month and nothing is done about it. Also some elderly neighbors have trouble keeping their landscaping up. Perhaps there could be a committee to give people like that a hand once in a while. No situation is black or white. Please let common sense rule. I live next-door to a neighbor who feeds the deer. I have 15+ deer in my yard daily. If I didn't protect my plants my yard would be a horrible mess. Just cleaning up the poop after the deer that trample through my yard on a daily basis is disgusting. My son is a game enforcement officer. He said the deer no longer migrate from Eagle Crest each year as they have been fed for so long that they winter and summer here. Why don't we tackle the deer issue first? 91. We have lived here for 21 years. I have tried everything known to man to try and stop the deer from eating all of my plants. I have spent hundreds of dollars on sprays, etc. to no avail. If the deer are hungry, they will eat it. I had no idea when we built here that the deer were such a problem, but it is not going to cause us to move. We just have to put up with it. I believe the only way to keep deer from eating all of my plantings is to put a 12-foot fence around my property, and that is certainly not going to happen. So it is just something we have to contend with. I had no idea that people were putting fences up around plantings. Thanks for asking for our opinions.
- 92. I'm concerned that those most motivated to respond to this survey are those interested in changing it. Those happy with the way it is may not respond, skewing the results.
- 93. I feel strongly that barriers should be allowed and the individual homeowner should make the decision on when to remove them. It takes years for shrubs and trees to achieve a meaningful size. The cost to the homeowners for landscaping is considerable to watch it being destroyed by wildlife.
- 94. the answers to all my responses should restricted to the rear of properties that have sufficient areas not to interfere with surrounding properties
- 96. We want to maintain the livability of our community and not be subject to such picky rules. The majority of us enjoy our yards and maintain them in a tasteful way. Let's just use some common sense! 97. We believe attractive fencing for privacy from the walking paths, roads and common areas should be allowed.

The fencing around plants and trees should be attractive not ugly as some are.

98. I believe in fencing around landscaping until the plant is established. It is easy to put in plants that the deer do not eat. It is what we have done and it is not a problem. People come here for the weather and the high desert. It would be best to plant native plants.

- 99. I believe Eagle Crest owners will appropriately apply wildlife barriers given the opportunity and GENERAL guidelines.
- 100. We will be experiencing increased food shortages due to climate change and need to be able to plant gardens without fear of plants being eaten by deer. We should also be able to build greenhouses as long as they are presentable.
- 102. barriers around plants or protection around young trees is very helpful to allow trees to grow and mature. We have had a number of plants that continue to be eaten back each year because we don't have sizable barrier around them.
- 103. Having tasteful barriers to protect landscaping and gardens from wildlife seems like a reasonable solution to allow Eagle Crest to keep the no-fence culture and still have enjoyable plantings. I have lived here 10 years and never encountered anyone who has expressed a negative concern or felt it "unsightly" to see barriers around gardens or landscaping. The deer population has exploded in recent years and made it even more difficult to maintain landscaping. Without some barriers, we will see flowers, young trees, and vegetable gardens start to disappear rapidly. Thank you for listening.
- 105. If they want to grow vegetables, move to a farm.
- 106. Wire fencing around garden plots should definitely be allowed.
- 107. We would like at give our landscaping the chance to grow.
- 108. Respect the owner's rights to their land and home, let them decide. Stop trying to turn EC into a collection of doll houses. Your attutude is turning neighbors against each other and that makes for an unpleasant place to live.
- 109. Protection around tree trunks, gardens and very young plantings should be allowed as long as they are not imposing i.e. "in your face." Some residents have invested a lot of money to plant trees, plants and gardens in their yards. As long as they are ARC approved, residents should be allowed to protect their investment.
- 112. We think RECOA should mail out ballot to all property owners. Then post the true results. Most of the questions on the survey do not make sense. To many open questions.
- 113. Deer are the biggest problem so some cover around some plants and tree trunks is needed if not offensive looking. Have spent a lot buying new bushes and plants to enhance the look of our yard!
- 114. We should be allowed to protect any reasonable landscaping improvements to help beautify our lots which logically would increase our & our neighbors home values.

Fencing of young trees, new shrubs & flowers would be much more attractive & eye pleasing than the results we see now of permanently damaged foliage by marauding deer.

The expenses of trying to beautify our properties often is wasted by deer trauma, which I might add, in some cases has been considerable.

I fear that the onerous no fences rule is spreading beyond the present homeowners to potential E.C. new.home buyers

115. I think it would be helpful to be able to protect vegetables in raised beds with lattice fence/screening for instance. Protecting young trees from deer rutting is fine, albeit necessary.

I DO NOT think it would be helpful to allow full plot/area fencing for a vegetable garden plot on individual property. That would definitely detract from our overall appeal and property values.

- 116. The current restrictions are so arbitrarily enforced that less restrictive rules may cause more adherence to them.
- 119. We are in their environment. Most people should have been aware of this before moving here.
- 121. We had Congo Group install yard defender sprinklers in our yard and haven't had a deer in it since. They are motion activated and can be set for day/night/always or off. They shoot water with an impact sprinkler for about 5 seconds to scare the deer away. This is a better alternative than fencing in a commons culture.

122. I do not agree with barriers that are a solid fence to protect vegetable gardens or raised beds. I believe you can use different options that do not make it a solid barrier such as wiring or plastic with holes in it. I have actually seen some of these located around Eagle Crest and they are well done and look awesome, while providing protection from all critters trying to eat the plants inside.....deer and bunnies! I think this is a better option for homeowners than chemical sprays to keep them away.

123. We believe that it is appalling that an area such as Eagle Crest is developed in the middle of wildlife habitat and then allow rules and conditions that drive the wildlife into an increasingly shrinking area. When we planned our landscaping it was with the intent that we would plant flora that wildlife (read: deer, rabbits, skunks, squirrels, raccoons, and other original inhabitants of the area) do not care to eat. If we planted something that the wildlife seemed to like enough to destroy, we planted something else. We do not encourage wildlife (namely deer) to camp out on our property, but if they do we leave them alone. There are 3 deer beds between our house and our neighbors that are frequented on a regular basis. We don't mind, and we are sure our neighbors are unaware of their existence.

If any property owners of Eagle Crest are intent on having landscaping attractive to the wildlife, planting gardens, and then fencing themselves off, then maybe this open commons lifestyle is not for them. Maybe they would be happier to move back to the protection of a town or city where the wildlife has already been dispossessed and they could build their fences and raise their vegetables.

- 126. I feel that at present the rules are not being followed. Some people have fencing around flowers, etc. and others are not allowed Need to have one rule for all I personally would like to grow more vegetables, but next to impossible. Some kind of mutually agreed upon fencing would be nice, and not just for the chosen few.
- 129. Stop feeding the deer
- 130. I wish the ARC Cmte the best in addressing this tough issue.
- 132. instead of creating various kinds of fencing, how about allowing a designated vegetable community garden that is fenced in and allows owners to set up their growing areas.
- 135. It's nice to see you folks looking at new ideas.
- It's too bad you limit our response to landscape barriers.
- 136. buy a green house 10x10 max
- 137. I believe in small fence around a new plant for the first year to protect it until established but I do not think a fence using drive in fence posts and circling several plants and trees is not appropriate. These people new what the regulations were when they purchased their homes and went ahead and planted things that they new would be eaten by the Deere anyway. I like these people knew the regulations and these were part of the reason we purchased our home. We do not want to see fences at all. Why should the regulations be changed now for their benefit. I don't want my home values to go down because the loosening of existing regulations. I like many of my neighbors have beautiful yards without the use of fences. Please do not change the existing regulations to appease a few who have pushed the boundaries. I would think the fence regulations would also pertain to the stacking of cedar fence along the road to keep people from parking in front of their homes.
- 138. We allow wild animals to roam freely through our neighborhoods. As home owners we should be able to protect the thousands of dollars we have invested in our landscaping. Fine homeowners who feed the deer.
- 139. Enforcement resources should only be applied when there is a complaint by a neighbor. Then judgment and reasonableness should be the standard utilized to resolve the matter.
- 140. I believe we homeowners in our desire to have a beautiful landscape in keeping with what we all want in our neighborhood, should be able to protect our investment in plants and trees by installing wire fencing around vulnerable plants/trees. it is very frustrating to invest time and money in these things for them to be eaten overnight. The deer have destroyed many of my plants and bushes as they are indiscriminant when they are hungry (of course).

- 141. Common sense should prevail when it comes to enforcing CC&R's. If a homeowner spends money to enhance his/her property by purchasing expensive trees, then protection of those trees (or plants) should be allowed, whether by individual fencing or fencing of a group of trees or plants. Again, common sense should guide our decisions.
- 142. You can decide what types of barriers may in the future be permitted by providing approved designs/examples if the review committee does amend the current restrictions. Homeowners then can see what they can and cannot it do if the landscaping protection is amended.
- 144. The fencing I see around plants, bushes and trees does not detract from the overall beauty of Eagle Crest. Actual wood or metal fences to fence in entire yards would be horrible!
- I believe that urban gardening is important for individuals as well as the health of the planet. I think raised beds should be allowed, as long as the board retains design authority, so we maintain tasteful landscaping. Consistency is important.
- 145. We have been residents of EC for 13+ years and have abided by having no fencing to protect landscaping. There has been a lack of enforcement regarding numerous violations of the CCRs, including landscape fencing, light shinning off of ones property and excessive number of solar/landscape lights lighting up the sky, parked cars exceeding six hours on the street and/or overnight parking
- 147. We have had matures trees destroyed by Bucks & Doe's both rubbing on the bark of our trees. Deer graze on our mature plants continuiosly & we spend Hundreds if not more on replacing our plants, trees & scrubs. By stopping the no feeding of deer has not stopped any of this, the deer are now used to people. Our neighbor fed them for many many years & they still come back all the time. The Deer population has increased probably 100% since we have been here. Sad situation for sure!
- 148. First of all, no one follows the HOA rules. There are so many infractions it is pitiful. Enforce the rules we have. Look in back yards and front yards. When neighbors complain, follow up with them. Liz Lopez is a joke. Her follow through is terrible.

I think collars around tree trucks should be permitted all the time. They don't protrude and they do protect the tree. If you make it only during rut, you will have to educate and enforce continually. I don't think there should be any fences whatsoever. You are opening up a can of worms. We live in a place where there are deers and if people don't like that, they can move in town. I've been looking around at all the "fences" people have installed and it is ridiculous. Everyone does exactly what they want no matter what the regulations state. My street has numerous infractions in the front and back yards. You need to check the back yards too. They are still feeding the deer and look how much time you have spent on that!!!!!!!!

Homeowners are not seeking approval on projects at all. The process is costly and cumbersome. Something needs to be done soon. It is out of control.

As far as raised garden beds, I am against that as well. I had to look at a garden bed with PVC and visquene for years until the homeowner moved. I was told that was approved. If you allow that, then people will want to put up 10:foot fences to keep the deer out. Personally, I don't want to look at that. Maybe we should have a community garden area. We need consistency and communication.

- 149. I am glad we are reviewing the current rules to make sure that they still make common sense. If we approach this issue logically I believe having some protection for our landscape is appropriate due to the destructive actions sometimes of the large deer population here at Eagle Crest. We all love the deer but just want to protect our investments while trying to enhance our surroundings.
- 150. I would like to see protection around new trees allowed for 1-3 years as needed. Perhaps the circle width could be maintained. Even only 3 trees in front and backyard could be stated except for new build homes. Probably give them 2 years to protect plants and trees or three if their home has just been built. 153. I was not aware that "fencing" included screening or wraps around trees, shrubs, etc. I took the word literally to mean a fence structure. Wire screens are for the most part transparent, and needed,

not only during the "rutting" time. There appears to be no deer resistant plant, or shrub. Without some means of protection, we might as well go back to being a desert wasteland, or Junipers only. Thank you. 155. Many homes currently have fencing around landscaping which is not allowed. If the rules are changed and a specific color is allowed, how will the rule be enforced since the current rule is not? 156. Fencing should be functional (prevent wildlife damage) and complement (maintain property values and presentation) of the neighborhood

- 157. Any barriers should be cohesive and blend with our commons landscape.
- 158. Thnx for this opportunity. I believe the current "NO" policy on protective fencing is unreasonable and encroaches upon an owners right to protect often expensive plantings from our most common wildlife deer. I also believe reasonable permission for small-ish fenced gardens should be considered as well as either fences or drainage piping for trees. I would volunteer to help develop such a policy...Al Santoro, al.santoro4555@gmail.com, 541-526-5559.
- 159. It should be allowed for new vegetation. Give examples of plants deer do not eat.
- 161. When I moved into Eagle Crest in 2004 we were encouraged to plant grass and shrubs. In fact the ARC at the time was not supportive of our desire to not have grass. Fast forward to 2019. When you have grass and shrubs and deer that have been fed to the point of near domestication you have a "deer problem". Many of us have made a significant investment in our landscaping. We felt it was necessary to protect that investment in order to maintain our property values. Needless to say that protection is now being seen as counter to the "Rules". A simple solution is to require only natural landscaping. Take out all on the grass and shrubs and replace them with sagebrush, tumbleweed, and bunch grass. It would be all natural and there would be no more deer problem. A further benefit would be that we could greatly reduce our use of precious ground water by not irrigating. Our places would look just like the surrounding BLM land. The deer could come and go as they please without any problems.
- 162. We bought, built, and moved here because of the open character and existence of strong CC&Rs. Absolutely no fences should define property boundaries. Barriers to wildlife should be minimal to allow plants to establish. However, if you don't want the deer to eat it, be more careful about what you plant and where you plant it and be willing to accept that deer might eat it.
- 163. Part of living or owning property at Eagle Crest is the enjoyment of the wildlife within our community. There are plants and landscaping that are not palatable to deer and should be used if possible. As far as vegetables. etc. Most can be grown in decorative boxes or pots on decks or closer to a home to deter the deer.
- 164. This particular rule/regulation seems to be selectively enforced. Much vegetation fencing exists, and yet appears to be allowed, while many others are not. Additionally, we not encouraged to feed the deer. But, we currently aren't allowed to fence landscaping and other vegetation. And the deer are happy to chomp away at them. This seems to be an inconsistency.
- 166. I don't want to see E.C. turn into a farming community, small areas with fencing makes our golf course look real like a farming community not a resort. Keep E.C. as a resort not a farming community. 167. Although I don't like the appearance of barriers completely surrounding the landscaping at homes, I think some consideration could be made for the first year after planting. The problems is enforcing as it doesn't appear to have been done in the past. Many homes have barriers around trees, shrubs, and vegetables gardens. A few homes actually have fences and dog runs.
- 168. Let owners protect their plants from wildlife! Don't over govern our community!
- 169. Thank you for this opportunity for discussion.
- 170. Question #4: vague in defining "any permitted barrier".

Barriers that are semi-transparent because of color and spacing of mesh should be acceptable protection of landscaping from wildlife. As we know, wildlife still "chomp" on "deer resistance" trees and plants.

If these barriers are not allowed, seems it would discourage owners from having nice landscapes on their properties, hence no trees, shrubs, etc. which would take away from the beauty of our community as it is right now.

171. Trees: use black drain pipe on trucks until they reach a certain diameter (5") then not needed. Suggest only using from late summer to spring (rut) then remove and use deer spray through summer when it won't wash off with rain. I split the pipe in half and place them off and on using black cable ties, works great.

Garden: Only allow beds of certain size raised or inground, nicely built and painted same color as house. Barriers for beds should again look nice (no white pvc pipe) be only certain height (4-5ft) and of material that is dark in color such as bird netting.

These suggestions are what I currently use.

173. I think fencing around veggie gardens or a flower garden is reasonable and still provides open community areas

175. A lot if time was put into creating the structure of rules that exist today and most of what has been built out has been done with these rules in mind which has created the desirable community that we have. Changing at this point in time does not seem appropriate, maybe even disrespectful to those of us that have abided by the rules. We have the same issues with deer and wildlife at out house as everyone else but recognize this is what we bought into when building here and we deal with it as it is. Please don't turn this place into a tacky fenced community.

Farm to table could be a common area...

177. You see them all over EC now, even if they are not currently allowed. I think they should be allowed with guidelines so they are uniform and not necessarily permanent. However I find them less offensive than some of the ratty overgrown landscapes near me. Not only do they look bad, I don't see how they aren't a fire hazard.

179. I believe the barriers are needed for protection from the wildlife. I walk all the time and see barriers and beautiful landscaping from the use of them.....I don't think it harms the wildlife and because of that we have a very beautiful place to live in.....the way the rules are now is in favor of the wildlife and not beautiful landscaping.....think real hard about it and listen to the people that live here......lola solomon 181. Wildlife are a part of where we live and they were here first! Any efforts to radically change this will ultimately result in aesthetic degradation. We're definitely opposed to any form of fencing. 183. Either cull the herds or allow barriers.

184. I suggest creation of an Ad Hoc committee to investigate and make recommendation for what type of PERMANENT fencing should be allowed to prevent deer from destroying vegetation.

The fencing should be very restrictive and everyone should use the same...height, color, support bars/no bars, type of support, type of material, etc. The conclusion should aim at what best prevents deer from eating the vegetation while still be attractive and non visible as possible.

Action also needs to be taken to reduce the deer population in Eagle Crest...it is totally out of control. This is a danger to the deer and our human population.

Tim Miller

189. Allowing reasonable enjoyment of ones property is paramount to the Eagle Crest experience and that includes appropriate landscaping that requires protection from ravenous deer herds.

190. Due to the current article 4.01.17 RECOA ARC G/L prohibiting barriers around my landscaping, I had to spend \$5000.00 this past spring to replace all of the plants and trees just bring my yard back to the

original landscape plan. This was mainly due to the deer eating all of our plants and trees this past winter. Should this occur again, I will be seeking legal counsel to find out what options I have as to RECOA being responsible due to article 4.01.17 RECOA ARC G/L. As a homeowner I should be able to have barrier type protection around my plants and trees to avoid the additional expense of having plant and tree replacements every year.

- 192. If you have someone that would like to have a garden on their property they use the same guidelines as pet runs (not be visible from common areas or street.
- 194. Deer are pandemic, and dangerous to landscaping which improves value and quality of life.
- 195. Appreciate the Survey
- 196. Limitations on time and type of barriers is appropriate and acceptable to us. Vegetable gardening is also important to us. Raised beds, hoops, hoop covers, and plant supports are tools that a gardener uses during vegetable growing season. However, limitations in time would be appropriate. Growing season is approximately from April through October (possibly into November). Some row cover should be permitted to assist in the germination of vegetable seed, protection from vegetable insects, and protection from frost for all sensitive plants. In retail stores, the color of this protection is normally white.
- 198. The Governing Documents require landscaping and a certain aesthetic. Not allowing for the protection of plants defeats this goal. As long as materials used are "uniform", tidy, and maintained, it makes sense to allow them.
- 199. The only reason for the barriers of trees is to protect against bucks rubbing their antlers, so should be seasonal. Residents should research plants that are deer resistant, otherwise don't plant if you are going to hinder the beauty by caging it. The deer are entitled to eat
- 200. Question 3 consistent, subtle barrier around trees is ok with me. I walk around my neighborhood today and see at least 4 different types of fencing around trees. Some are rather garish.

Question 6 - consistent and subtle is more important to me than the color. I would send a picture of the one in my neighborhood that I prefer but can't upload photos here.

Question 7 - it's important to enforce but my observation is that the rules are being enforced subjectively and inconsistently which can backfire. Walking through any neighborhood right now shows me that signage and fencing are 2 areas that rules are not working for our community. Some of the worst violations are seen on our walking paths but the HOA will not enforce unless it is visible from the street. Question 9 - answered yes but it is yes within reason. Consistency and subtle impact has to be included. I noticed that the ARC Guidelines are dated March 2019. I apologize ahead of time if this was already discussed at a meeting or on the website but I missed it.... what changed from the last version? 201. Everyone should try to have a natural desert juniper landscape as possible without barriers & veggie gardens. We are not the Valley.

Also, colorful whirly gigs and children's plastic furniture in the yard are also eyesores when they can be seen from the street or common areas.

202. The way it is being enforced is too restrictive.

We wouldn't be able to have any attractive landscaping without some protective barriers from the deer. Strict enforcement of not feeding the deer might help some.

204. We can spend a lot of money on nice landscaping only to have it destroyed by deer, etc. I think modest fencing should be allowed to protect vulnerable plants.

207. Given that the HOA mandates that homeowners put in landscaping and that landscaping is expensive, we should be able to protect that landscaping. Plantings are vulnerable for several years after initial planting and should be protected from wildlife. However, barriers should not be eyesores or detract from the open lifestyle we have here. Large, high, fenced-off areas should not be allowed. Approved landscaping should not include the growing of large vegetables such as corn which attracts wildlife.

209. Barriers are obtrusive and detract from the natural beauty of a well maintained landscape. The open appearance and blending of home owners landscape with the native surroundings preserves our natural environment. There are organic deterrence that can be applied to young or established plants and trees that works very well. A home owners mature vegetation are in my opinion an acceptable food source for the wild life. The deer keep my trees trimmed along the underside while I maintain the remaining area. The rabbits munch on my lawn while fertilizing at the same time. The squirrels entertain my pet while the sagebrush lizards keep the bugs in check. I feel its important to coincide with the wild life while protecting the beauty of our plants and trees with a little effort from acceptable products that are at our disposal.

212. It is totally ridiculous with the huge population of deer wondering all areas of Eagle Crest including the golf courses that any restriction at all placed on any type of barrier necessary to protect landscape plants would be enacted (or NOT retracted if already in place). We are two years into our new home and most plants that lack a protection barrier have been eaten to the ground or have died from deer antler debarking. We feel so strongly about this issue that we will likely ignore any request by RECOA to remove such barriers and refuse to pay any penalty filed, and seek legal protection if necessary.

214. Slowing fencing/screening should be allowed. If it fits in and resembles the current screening used for garbage and propane tanks would be acceptable.

- 215. Buy plants that are deer repellent or use spray.
- 218. Some Allowed answers assume foregone conclusion of what you want to hear.
- 219. Deer will definitely destroy new trees. I think green or black fencing around new trees for 2-3 years should be allowed. I'd prefer there be no other type of fencing than this temporary type to protect expensive trees that we all want to see in Eagle Crest.
- 221. Reasonable stipulations and consistency in regulations & enforcement are important necessities. In reviewing the entire set of regulations, it seems there are many available inconsistencies in application and enforcement. Most noticeable are dead trees/vegetation on both common areas as well as owners' lots, structure location, lighting (specifically up lighting) as well as exterior building materials.

3 years ago, we were ordered to remove a dead tree, which we had planned to do, and were supplied a photo of that tree - - the photo supplied was of a live & thriving tree! We complied with the order by removing the dead tree. So it seems there is some inconsistency, if not outright errors in the inspections and directions to home home owners.

We understand the need to enforce certain standards to maintain aesthetics as well as a healthy environment for area wildlife. However, the lack of enforcement for owners feeding wildlife has created not only a nuisance problem, but a severe health problem especially for the deer. It would seem prudent to seek input to regulations especially from ODFW relative to any revisions. It is fairly common knowledge that the deer herd here is becoming diseased, from which it may take years to rectify, and may be the direst result of owners ignoring the feeding of these animals --if we wish to continue viewing healthy wildlife, this need to be more aggressively addressed, and that includes the planting/protection of certain non - native vegetation. It seems a balance can be reached through research as well as opinion.

222. I don't think that properly maintained and appropriately colored wild life barriers are in any way inappropriate in Eagle Crest. The alternative is plantings/trees that have been so injured by deer that they are either killed or left so damaged that they become an eyesore.

224. If fencing g is approved I would suggest limited natural materials. However the type of fencing that keep deer out which typically requires over 6 feet in height of galvanized steel, highly undesirable for Eaglecrest properties.

225. There are plenty of plants that the deer don't eat. People need to plant more of them. I understand that if a great amount of money is spent in landscaping, you would like to protect it, but people should think before they plant.

226. As long as the barriers are attractive and go with the flow of the house I think they should be permitted.

227. People should be allowed to protect landscaping. A bigger problem is that RECOA does not enforce weeds in backyards and non-compliance address signs. I.E., the home on Victoria Falls with the part-time residents. There have been numerous complaints about this home that borders the golf course. Weeds over 5 feet, growing under the deck, with dead trees hitting the roof. Can anyone say "Fire Hazard". They also have an old green address sign that is not in compliance with the neighborhood. Maybe this should also be a focus as it is an immediate danger. Don't understand why this hasn't been enforced.

228. If protecting new plants from deer is done unobtrusively, I have no problem with it. Putting foam or other wrapping around trees trunks in rutting season as needed seems reasonable. I don't have a problem with people growing some vegetables, but it should be in their backyard or side where not fully visible from the street, and at some point, it's more than you expect to see in a resort development. I support being able to have a short wall matching one's house, to make that neat and nice looking. Just wire or other plastic fencing seems messy.

Difficult questions. Hope this is a little helpful.

230. The beauty of Eaglecrest's open spaces is protected by the current fencing policy, however, homeowners should be allowed to protect their landscape investment from wildlife with unobtrusive barriers.

231. Within reason I believe protecting young trees and shrubs with barriers and fencing is smart. The more the deer can feed on young saplings, etc, the more they will become accustom to them as a food source. As for vegetable beds, within reason why can they NOT be protected. we don't want hemp fields in lawns but a tomato plant or two tucked into a flower bed is/would be nice. I believe the ARC which it seems is behind this survey has gone beyond their function!!!!! It would seem all conforming to a dark sky (all outside lights MUST shine down,, not out and up!) would be as important if not MORE important that barriers and wrappings around plants, shrubs, and trees.

235. none

236. I believe protecting vulnerable plants and trees (with accepted guidelines) should be allowed. I'd also like to see vegetable gardens (with protective barriers) be allowed. I believe this will show tourists/vacationers that Eagle Crest is a place where real, full-time, people live -- and that they, too, could live here comfortably without too many rules and regulations.

238. The premise of questions #5 and #6 assume that certain things are going to be permitted. Why?

I was attracted to Eagle Crest based on what it has been and what it is - don't try to change it! 239. Enforcement of RECOA policy seems inconsistent. I hope this will continued to be addressed as this negatively impacts the experience of living here.

241. Living in harmony with our wildlife and our neighbors doesn't mean NO fencing. Gardening is for many a valued part of a Central Oregon lifestyle. Investing in landscaping is a requirement of living in Eagle Crest. Protecting that investment while being respectful of your neighbors is reasonable. There needs to be a balance that takes the individual homeowners desires and the community requirements into account. That is what we need to achieve.

Fair and reasonable.

244. I think we all want nice yards and pleasant landscaping at our neighbors' yards too. Some plants will always need protection and those plants should be discouraged. However, giving young trees or shrubs protection for a few years to enable the beauty and resilience of a mature landscape specimen should be

allowed. I don't wish this to include border fences between lots or lots and common areas though — just temporary plant protection.

245. It seems the homeowners who are trying to improve the landscaping around their homes run into difficulties with the rules, while those who do not do any landscaping enhancements are free from landscaping rules. I'd like the ARC to be flexible about enhancements to homeowners properties. I also believe wildlife is part of Eagle Crest and homeowners need to choose vegetation with care when thinking about their landscaping plans. I think fining homeowners as a first recourse, when they are trying to enhance their property is too harsh.

246. Either exceptions to current policy should be allowed or the policy should be amended to protect our plants from the over population of deer

247. You have made it very clear that you don't want us to feed the wildlife. Well, we try to avoid feeding them thru protective barriers!!

If you don't want us to protect our plantings which we have invested in, then are you going to reimburse us for the loss when they are decimated by wildlife. A compromise can be found to allow environmentally friendly appearing netting or removable non-permanent fences during growing season and/or bad winters.

248. Use other means to keep wildlife away...

249. Hundreds of homes have necessarily used barriers around new landscape. Without those barriers the deer would have destroyed so many plants our community would not be as attractive as it is. New homes or people upgrading their landscape should be allowed to use barriers to establish plants. That's for all of our benefit. Such barriers are not fences. To consider them as such is silly and bureaucratic.

251. Protecting trees, shrubs and plants is completely different than a traditional fence.

252. Landscaping and gardens are separate issues. For gardens only - any type/size of fencing should be determined on where the placement of garden would be on property - to be the least visible.

253. Stop with the foolish regulations. Don't feed the deer, but then you can't keep the deer from feeding on your plants? People spend good money on new plants, they should be allowed to protect them from wildlife.

255. Until something is done to control the quantity of deer, it is not fair to prohibit fencing to protect plantings. There are so many deer that they eat everything, deer resistant or not. These rules will destroy the beauty of Eagle Crest; Trees will die from bucks rubbing antlers, flowers and bushes will be eaten, and our beautiful park like setting will return to desert.

257. The cc&r states no fencing to protect individual plants. To me fencing surrounds an entire yard. Not an individual plant. What is an acceptable means of protecting our expensive landscape items. Don't just say it cant be done, give us an acceptable alternate. The eradication of the offending wildlife is not an option I assume. Is netting an option? The deer have decide that deer-out solutions is just adding a nice spice to their meal. The animals are stripping the foliage and bark from the trees and bushes. This is not a desirable look wanted by either the homeowner or the committee. The beautification of the area is not with dying trees and naked bushes.

259. Decorative fencing no higher than 2ft similar to Thunderbird drive at resort could be allowed but area fencing to protect landscaping could get out of hand and should remain prohibited. Single plant cage wire should be allowed for a year after that it should be removedif the deer are still a problem the wrong plant was planted in the first place.

260. I really appreciate the culture of commons at Eagle Crest. We really don't need fences here. Being relatively new here, I've watched with interest as a fairly widespread practice of using green lightweight fencing to protect plants has evolved into some rather serious exclosures with steel fence posts. Can cyclone fence be far behind? Thanks for bringing this issue to the fore.

- 261. We bought our home fully aware of the restrictions. We should not. Be changing because new residents don't like the rules. If we start making concessions to a few where do we stop. Before long the neighborhood will start to look like all other neighborhoods. Keep the current limits.
- 263. Especially important, since feeding of deer is now prohibited. If so, they should be discouraged from eating personal vegetable gardening. Seems like that's consistent.
- 264. If barriers and/or fences are allowed, Eagle Crest will be no different from any other neighborhood in a town or city. The draw and uniqueness of Eagle Crest with the feel of open space is why Eagle Crest is so special and feels so welcoming. Also, the wildlife was here before we were and when people buy in Eagle Crest "they know" the wildlife is part of this community. Please do not change Eagle Crest into looking like any other community. Eagle Crest is different. The best thing to do is for people to learn how co-habitat with the wildlife and residents to be smart with their plantings. If people wish to have fences/barriers there are several other communities /neighborhoods in Central Oregon which allow them.
- 265. We purchased our lot, then built our home with plans to stay in this community WITHOUT fencing and barriers. Why should it be okay to change that plan? We have often wondered why the barrier/fence requirements have not been enforced. Why are some things enforced and others not? With as many homes already built with these requirements we are asking the ARC to ENFORCE the requirement rather than change it. It really would not be right for the people who have already built under these expectations to change them. Thank you for asking for input.
- 266. Deer are very destructive to expensive trees and plants which make our landscaping beautiful.
- 269. Mature landscaping is important to the quality and appearance of our community. Landscaping is very expensive. Denying fencing or barrier is not in the best interest of Eagle Crest. It will decrease the hope and interest of residents to improve their homes thus our neighbors.

Quality and type of barriers should be the main question facing home owners. We need a standard to follow on type of barrier so that it is not intrusive or unsightly to neighbors.

270. Such barriers should not be prohibited as long as they are made from accepted materials intended for that purpose.

274. Its time the ARC is operated and monitored by residents, only.

Protecting the landscaping from the deer is only good common sense. What good does it to have decent landscaping if we allow the deer to eat it. If we are so concerned about the welfare of the deer, why can't we use common sense to keep our property looking good. This whole topic is nitpicking to the nth degree.

276. Plant only wildlife resistant plants

277. LIVING DOCUMENTS PERMIT OVER TIME IF LIVING CONDITIONS CHANGE TO ALLOW REASONABLE CHANGE IN EXISTING STATUES. I BEEN LIVING HERE FOR 20 YEARS AND BOTH THE HUMAN POPULATION AND THE DENSITY OF THE DEER POPULATION HAVE DRAMATICLLY INCREASED. SINCE THERE IS ONLY ONE WAY THAT IS EFFECTIVE IN PROTECTING EXISTING VEGATATION FOR PROPERTY OWNERS IS 'FENCING,' I BELIEVE THE ARC RULES SHOULD BE MODIFIED TO ALLOW PROTECTION OF PRIVATE PROPERTY FOR PROPERTY OWNERS, ESPECIALLY SINCE MOST ARE OF AN ADVANCED AGE!

278. If the Management Team, Board of RECOA and ARC were to have half the thought of maintaining the common areas that are under their management and "ownership", then they could be asking these questions. They DO NOT MAINTAIN any of these common areas except to cut down juniper trees, or pluck them almost bare. This is two-faced, self-effacing and slum-lord management. Start at home -- maintain and maintain the common spaces for which Management, The Board and ARC are responsible for, then question those who pay your expenses!!

- 281. We paid lots of money for trees, plants, etc. and we should be allowed to protect them.
- 282. The current plan makes Eagle Crest unique and all of who have moved to Eagle Crest understood the CC&Rs when we chose to live here. If folks want to live in a fenced lot, move to Redmond or Bend.

284. We love the open concept, and the widelife, but we also love our landscaping plants and flowers. Our new plants need some protection. Deer fencing can be fairly inconspicuous if done correctly. Veggie gardens in the back or side yard only, as hidden as possible.

285. 1: This question seems bogus. "Do you believe enforcement of current Governing Documents are important in maintaining your quality of mutual enjoyment?" -- I have concerns about the way policies regarding landscape barriers have been enforced. It does not seem to be consistent. I've seen many houses with discreet wire protection around shrubs or trees, and I do not suffer any loss of "quality of mutual enjoyment." As long as barriers look tidy (i.e., not chicken wire), I think they are OK. -- In light of the new "transparency" initiative, I'd like to see a meeting to discuss this issue.

286. In order to maintain a property that is aesthetically pleasing to the eye for the Eagle Crest community it is necessary to have these types of barriers. To protect new plants that we have invested in, and older plantings as well, we have found it necessary to use these types of barriers. We have lost many shrubs and other plantings because the deer graze on them and literally destroy the plant's ability to survive. Not only does this mean our plants have become unsightly, but we have lost a lot of money because of it. We have even consulted Land Effects and obtained literature on native plants that are supposedly deer resistant, and we have lost them.

288. im protecting lilacs until they are more mature, thats about it we try to get deer resistant stuff otherwise

keeping a small vegetable garden with some protection seems reasonable, as vegetables are important for human nutrition and can be shared among neighbors

290. The current requirements prohibit landscaping because of the abundance of deer.

To keep our property values up by allowing barriers around landscaping...

There is a prohibition on feeding deer. As a result the deer are eating the landscaping! We need to protect our investments!!

I think looking at properties for lack of maintenance is far more important than fencing around tomatoes or landscaping.

291. If you want the landscaping to look well maintained you have to protect it from it being eaten and damaged by the wildlife. If you want color and beauty to be enjoyed by all we can't let the wildlife eat them(which is against the CCR)

It is our impression this was a carefully crafted survey to obtain the answers you wanted.

292. I think vegetable gardens should be restricted to the backyard or in a location not obviously viewed from the street. Small decorative fences should be allowed, although I understand how this would be difficult to qualify and enforce (open to interpretation).

293. We live purposefully in an area with natural wildlife and should understand what that means. Plantings should be with the knowledge of what will survive in this community. I would support helping new permanent plantings for a short period of time as long as it looks natural around an individual plant. I would not support anything that looks like a barrier fence.

294. Allowing black or dark green landscape fencing around individual plants is just common sense. Landscape companies charge a lot of money to plant trees and other large plants, and having them mowed down by deer (eating things NOT in their natural diet) is bad for the homeowner and bad for the deer. These individual landscape fences mostly disappear and do nothing to detract from the open feel of Eagle Crest.

295. Protection from wildlife should be the only barriers (fences) allowed.

297. I believe if barriers are allowed they need to be aesthetically pleasing, not just Home Depot plastic or wire. The fencing and structures should be elegant and pleasing to eye and not distract from the otherwise beautiful setting of Eagle crest. We should also survey owners about the ban on golf carts, I believe there's an appetite for allowing private golf carts.

- 298. Any tree protecting fencing should be placed and maintained in a neat and aesthetically pleasing fashion
- 299. The ability to have a small raised fenced bed would be a joy to a lot of people. Bushes/trees need to be protected while young or the deer will destroy them.
- 300. I think the barriers should be allowed but should not be solid and displeasing to the eye. Chicken wire is an example of what should be allowed not anything as solid as a fence.
- 302. Native vegetation is preferred, not city-type lawns and ornamental trees & bushes.
- 306. Landscaping and plants are an investment that owners should be able to protect. While I don't agree with fencing property lines, protecting individual plantings and vegetables should be allowed.

 307. I think "screens" should be allowed, subject to a maximum height of 3' and a maximum diameter of
- 307. I think "screens" should be allowed, subject to a maximum height of 3' and a maximum diameter of 3', using black (green or brown) 14 gauge wire.

If screening is not allowed, i will be removing most of my plants and lawn and returning to native landscaping. (since the deer will kill a large number of the plants i have.)

- 309. Encourage deer resistant landscaping. When cages are needed, provide guidelines for type, color, length of time (1 year), and height of acceptable material. I've seen so many types being used. Some types look much better than others. Some are installed very nicely and others are not. Some yards have way too many cages of all sizes and material types. Perhaps limiting the number of cages that can be viewable from the road. Some homes look like they might as well just put a large, tall cage around their entire yard. Have information easily available to all residents about the importance of planting a deer resistant landscape and a list of plants and trees that are deer resistant. Be vigilant in holding all home owners accountable to following the rules. Only then will homeowners attempt to plant a mostly deer resistant landscape.
- 311. I have a concern about safety of people and pets from wildlife. I was aggressed three times by a doe last spring, and I was chased around my house once by the same, very large, doe. This is dangerous and will not be tolerated again. I think there can be protective spaces which direct and allow wildlife to meander, travel, breed, and thrive without fencing ever lot or closing off migratory routes. I twill be hard to draft all encompassing wording for allowed barriers, but, I do think it can and should be done. There are too many deer on the property.
- 312. The deer and wildlife were here first. We agree that limited protection for trees, etc. during the first year of planting is reasonable, but think that allowing more permanent fencing is counter-productive to the environment that we live in and could potentially open it up for permanent and unsightly fences and barriers.
- 313. I have not observed fencing protecting landscaping as detrimental to our community. Rather it keeps deer from eating our plants and vegetables. I think zero fencing policy is abusive to those of us who only want to beautify our property. We have homes who do nothing to their yards and are overrun with weeds. That to me makes our neighborhoods look run down, not the fencing to protect what we grow.
- 315. Another important consideration regarding landscape barriers is the actual BARRIER MATERIAL to be used. The use of designated material such as "fine netting", nearly transparent wire (green), wood lattice painted to match the primary color of the home, etc. could be made acceptable whereas material like chain link fencing, reflective silver or galvanized wire, chicken wire, etc. should not be permitted. Remember, the objective is to maintain a natural and flowing vista that is not cluttered with obstructive non-blending material. In short, with a little thought and foresight, a list of permitted materials (along with size, color, density, etc.) should be incorporated into any "specific guidlines" adopted by the ARC. 317. We belive barriers around new plants is alright, until they reach a size where the deer can not destroy them . We don't have a problem with protecting raised garden beds either. Thanks for listening

to our concerns, we have just put in plants since building and would like to protect them from the deer, who will chew on almost anything green and strip the bark off new plants and trees.

- 319. Barriers around small plants and just planted trees is vital to help improve the beauty of landscapes. After the trees are established they can be removed. If you want lovely yards you have to compromise.
- 320. Fences, barriers, guards, wrappings of all kinds exist today. The ARC has been woefully inept at enforcing your own rules. It's time for the owners to take control of the ARC and rewrite the entire guidelines to be more aligned with the neighborhoods we own. The common areas can still be controlled by KDG but the individual neighborhoods need to be under the control of the owners. Fences and barriers to protect landscaping should be allowed and are just one issue the ARC guidelines are out of touch with what the majority of owners want.
- 321. I do not believe Eagle Crest is the right community for people who want to put up fences and grow gardens! If they want to do that, move somewhere where you are not part of an organized resort community. If you don't enjoy the wildlife, move to town! I find it ridiculous that people plant stuff in their yards that the deer naturally like to eat, and then try to protect their plantings by placing hideous barriers around them. Have the brains to plant deer resistant trees and plants. And for God sakes, don't shoot at the wildlife!
- 323. It is important to allow newly planted landscaping to survive for a few months without interference from local wildlife
- 324. Without protective barriers from deer, it will be difficult to be successful growing certain landscape plants without significant damage to them.
- 325. People who have put up barriers are for the most part people who care for and tend the yards they own. What is way more disgusting to me is the number of part time people who do near to nothing to take care of their yards. Theses places are unsightly and a nuisance to those of us who live here full time and value taking care of what we own. Weedy and over grown lots that are owned by people who are either waiting to build or holding onto to resale infuriate me. They cause mess and extra weeds for all of the rest of us & make Eagle Crest look awful! Enforcement of these situations are of way greater concern to us.
- 326. Until landscaping is established (one year) the protective barrier should be allowed.
- 327. Barriers to wildlife should be permitted of any suitable material. The prohibition on metal is absurd in the extreme.
- 328. For sure, netting should be allowed to protect vegetable gardens. Some ARC approved stylish fencing should be allowed.
- 330. Everything needs to be in good taste. We live in an environment with deer rabbits etc and our only plantings should be native in nature and protected until established. After that let things go. Plants that need protect long term from deer of t's it's just are not what is considered natural environment.
- 332. Its too extreme the way it is now. We should have the right to protect our investment in our yards, or maybe we should just clear everything and have no landscaping.
- 333. Fencing around trees/shrubs during the winter months is helpful in ensuring shrubs/plants/trees look good in spring; with the current amount of deer coming through each day in winter when many plants are covered, they come through for the easy stuff and can devastate landscaping at a residence. Homeowners shouldn't have to continually pay for new shrubs each year that don't come back.
- 334. If you cannot protect your landscaping properly then the landscaping will cease and we will all look exactly the same, yellow and purple. The Stepford Homes.
- 335. A great deal of dollars are spent in beautification of our homes and those of our neighbors. Landscaping is an important part of the process. If the animals in our neighborhood are permitted to destroy vegetation/flora before it has matured, that's isn't being responsible
- 336. I think fencing for pets should be allowed. Both to protect pets from the deer and coyotes Aniston keep pets from running free. There are many cats running free.

337. "Do you believe enforcement of current Governing Documents are important in maintaining your quality of mutual enjoyment?"

What an asinine thing to ask. This is one of those "do you still beat your wife questions."

The owners simply want common sense to be used for the application of rules in OUR community. This is an Owner's association. We don't need to be treated like 2nd graders who need nasty letters and threats of fines. Things are pretty good on the Ridge. Lighten up and stop looking for problems.

338. unless you can get rid of the deer, residents should be allowed to protect their expensive landscaping